

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16615 of 2019

Date of Decision:29.04.2019

Renu Sharma

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Ravinder Phogat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Mohan, DAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Cr.P.C.) for seeking bail pending trial in case FIR No. 291 dated 22.10.2018, under Sections 380, 411 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Manesar, District Gurgaon.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 19.02.2019 and challan in this case has been presented on 26.03.2019 and now the case is fixed for consideration of charges on 08.05.2019. Further contends that there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner and the trial is likely to take long time, hence, she be enlarged on bail.

The above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Kuldeep and opposed the bail in view of the allegations of theft of huge amounts.

Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper

book.

In view of the fact that the petitioner, being a female, is in custody since 19.02.2019 and the challan has already been presented; now the case is fixed for consideration of charges, thus the trial is likely to take a long time to be concluded, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars any more.

Therefore, in view of the abovesaid circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is accepted. Petitioner-Renu Sharma be admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

April 29, 2019
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no