

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-33192 of 2017

Date of Decision: January 17, 2019

Amit

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. O.P. Dhankar, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG Haryana

Mr. Manish Saini, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 to 6

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondents No. 2 to 6 submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous as meanwhile, the said respondents have been granted regular bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner expresses ignorance.

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Krishan Kumar submits that the statement of the counsel for the private respondents is correct. Infact, the said respondents have been granted regular bail after presentation of challan.

Thus, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

January 17, 2019

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No