

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.33935 of 2018**  
Date of decision: 22<sup>nd</sup> April, 2019

Raman Ahuja

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH**

Present:     Petitioner in person along with his counsel  
                  Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate.  
  
                  Mr. Saurav Khurana, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab  
                  for respondent No.1/State.  
  
                  Respondent No.2 in person along with her counsel  
                  Mr. Arshdeep Singh, Advocate.

**FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

Report dated 05.09.2018 of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Dhuri has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Neeraj Verma and the accused Raman Ahuja, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Moreover, during the course of interaction with the parties, they have shown their resolve to end the dispute and have made statements that they have compromised the matter as per compromise (Annexure P2) dated 13.03.2018 and shall abide by the same. The complainant respondent No.2 Neeraj Verma has specifically stated that

she has settled the dispute and she has no objection if the FIR in question is quashed in respect of the petitioner.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court and the fact that the dispute between the parties being a pure matrimonial and the offenses for which the accused has been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.92 dated 05.08.2015 under Sections 498-A, 406 IPC pertaining to Police Station City Dhuri, District Sangrur and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioner are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

**(FATEH DEEP SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

**April 22, 2019**

*rps*

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No