

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 9693 -2019(O&M)

Date of decision: 24.05.2019

Satish Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.P.S. Jammu, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Sandeep Vashisht, DAG Haryana

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Heard.

Petitioner has impugned the order dated 3.1.2019 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Divisional Commissioner, Hisar, whereby his prayer for grant of parole was declined. The petitioner has sought parole for the treatment of his wife and withdrawal of amount of provident fund lying deposited with the bank.

In the reply, State has opposed the prayer.

Heard.

It comes out that the petitioner is undergoing 10 years Rigorous Imprisonment along with fine in a case under NDPS Act. Prescription slip placed on file shows that the wife of the petitioner is stated to be suffering from depression. The certificate is dated 14.8.2018. Now, nine months have elapsed. Depression is a routine problem. No acute problem has been

alleged to grant emergency parole. Therefore, on the basis of the said certificate, the petitioner cannot be released on parole.

Secondly, the petitioner wants to withdraw provident fund amount. Petitioner was working in semi government organization and the provident fund amount can be withdrawn only after filing an application and giving grounds available to the petitioner. He can not straightway go to the bank and withdrawn the amount.

No ground is made out to set aside the impugned order dated 3.1.2012 (Annexure P-4).

Resultantly, the present petition stands dismissed.

24.05.2019
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No