

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.33777 of 2016

Date of decision: 20th February, 2019

Atam Devi & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Petitioners in person along with
 Mr. S.K. Bawa, Advocate.

 Mr. Ripudaman, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
 for the respondent No.1/State.

 Respondent No.2 in person along with
 Mr. Sanjay Vashisth, Advocate.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 19.01.2019 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Faridabad has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Preeti and the accused persons, namely, Smt. Atam Devi and Parveen Arora, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Moreover, during the course of interaction with the parties, they have shown their resolve to end the dispute and have made statements that they have compromised the matter as per the settlement agreement dated 11.09.2018 (annexed herewith) arrived at between them

before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and shall abide by the same.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court and the fact that the dispute between the parties being a pure matrimonial and the offenses for which the accused have been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.192 dated 23.05.2014 under Sections 498-A, 406, 506/34 IPC pertaining to Police Station Sector 7, Faridabad and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioners are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

February 20, 2019

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No