

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**LPA No. 946 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 15.07.2019**

Naranjan Singh

... Appellant

Versus

The Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Patiala and others

... Respondents

and other connected matters, i.e.

LPA Nos. 947 to 1033 of 2019

LPA Nos. 1043 to 1084 of 2019

LPA Nos. 1094 to 1098 of 2019

LPA No. 1103 and 1104 of 2019

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI,
CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE**

Present: Mr. U.K. Shandilya, Advocate, and
Mr. Rahul Garg and Mr. J.S. Jindu, Advocates,
for the appellants.

KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

CM-2259-LPA-2019 in LPA No. 946 of 2019

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, the delay of 162 days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned.

Application stands disposed of.

Main Case(s)

By this common order, we shall dispose of a bunch of 137 appeals.

These intra-court appeals, under Clause X of the Letters Patent, are directed against the order and judgment dated 28.9.2018, passed by the learned Single Judge, disposing of 158 writ petitions, out of which 79 petitions were filed by the appellants-workmen and remaining 79 were filed by the respondent-Management, by a common judgment.

LPA No. 946 of 2019 (O&M) and other connected matters

At the outset, learned counsel for the appellants-workmen pointed out various factual errors in the impugned judgment, on account of which, we deem it expedient and appropriate if the necessary application(s), seeking recalling/review of the order, are made before the learned Single Judge, in the first instance.

At this stage, learned counsel for the appellants pointed out that filing of 158 review applications, with same contents, documents and prayers, would rather unnecessarily add to the bulk and cause inconvenience to Court.

Considering the above and in order to avoid multiplicity of identical applications, we hereby dispose of all these 137 appeals, by providing that the appellants may file one single/common consolidated application on behalf of all the applicants in respect of the grievances raised herein, subject to the payment of court fee.

In the wake of the above, we request the learned Single Judge to consider and decide the review application as expeditiously as possible. Needless to observe that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on merits of the case of either of the parties and the review application shall be decided on its own merits.

Disposed of, accordingly.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

July 15, 2019

AK Sharma

Whether speaking / reasoned:

YES

Whether Reportable:

NO