

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

281-A

**CRM-M No.16472 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 08.05.2019**

Anit @ Amit

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Dr. Sushil Gautam, D.A.G., Haryana.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.324 dated 25.08.2018 registered under Sections 148, 149, 307, 323, 427 and 452 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act and Section 120B IPC (added later on) at Police Station Dadri City, District Charkhi Dadri.

On 08.04.2019 the following order was passed in a connected case bearing CRM-M No.15918 of 2019:-

*“Learned counsel relies upon an order dated 01.02.2019 passed in **CRM-M-54654 of 2018 titled as Abhishek Vs. State of Haryana.***

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Counsel for the petitioner undertakes to supply a copy of the paper book to the learned AAG during the course of the day.

Adjourned to 06.05.2019.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 12.04.2019 (from 09.00 AM to 05.00 PM) or on any other day on which the Investigating Officer requires his presence, and in the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Investigating Officer to his satisfaction subject to the compliance of the provisions as contained in Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.”

Thereafter, the following order was passed on 10.04.2019 in this case:-

*“Learned counsel has relied upon the orders of this Court passed in **CRM-M-54654-2018** on 1.2.2019 titled as **Abhishek vs. State of Haryana** (Annexure P-3) and **CRM-M-8831-2019** on 4.4.2019 titled as **Satish @ Satiya vs. State of Haryana** (Annexure P-4).*

*To come up alongwith **CRM-M-15918-2019** on 6.5.2019.*

*Interim orders in the same terms as in **CRM-M-15918-2019**.”*

Learned DAG on instructions from Sub Inspector Prem Singh has accepted that the petitioner is similarly situated as Abhishek.

Keeping in view the entire conspectus of facts, without going into the merits of the case, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The interim order dated 10.04.2019 is made absolute.

Petition stands allowed.

Since the main cases have been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

May 08, 2019
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No