

233
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
Crl. Misc. No. M-33338 of 2016

Hardeep Singh
.....Petitioner
versus
State of Punjab and others
.....Respondents

Crl. Misc. No. M-38887 of 2016
Balvinder Kaur
.....Petitioner
versus
State of Punjab and others
.....Respondents

Crl. Misc. No. M-36381 of 2016
Arshdeep Singh
.....Petitioner
versus
State of Punjab and others
.....Respondents

Crl. Misc. No. M-36514 of 2016
Joginder Ram
.....Petitioner
versus
State of Punjab and others
.....Respondents

Date of Decision: January 11, 2019

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- None for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG Punjab

Mr. Nagar Singh, Advocate for
Mr. A.S. Virk, Advocate
for respondents No. 5 and 6 (in CRM-M-33338-2016) and
for respondent No. 5 (in CRM-M-38887-2016)

Mr. Pawan Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Nitin Thatai, Advocate
for respondents No. 6 and 7 (in CRM-M-36381-2016)
for respondent No. 5 (in CRM-M-38887-2016)

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

Aforementioned petitions are being taken together for hearing as common questions of facts and law are involved therein.

Learned counsel for respondents No. 6 and 7 submits that after interim order was granted by this Court on the submission made by counsel for the petitioner that he would pay the outstanding amount, neither he has paid the said amount nor learned counsel has put in appearance before this Court.

Perusal of the order sheets shows that except for two dates of hearing counsel for the petitioners have not put in appearance on the other dates.

In view of the fact that the petitioners have failed to honour their commitment as well the fact that counsel for the petitioners have not put in appearance on a number of dates, the petitions are dismissed.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

January 11, 2019

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No