

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.33392 of 2018
Date of decision: 9th May, 2019

Baljeet Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent Nos.1 to 3/State.

Mr. Sushil Sharma, Advocate for respondents No.4 to 6.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the private respondents states that he wants to incorporate the reply filed by the official respondents No.1 to 3.

Allowed, as prayed for. Necessary note to this effect be given in the paper book.

Heard and records perused. In the light of categorical stand of the respondents taken in the preliminary objections, especially para No.2, during the course of investigations based on the medical examination of the petitioner, only non-cognizable offences were made out and thus, the petitioner is within her rights to have recourse to appropriate legal proceedings. In the light of this stand, which the petitioner side could not controvert as none has put in appearance on her behalf, the present

petition has been rendered infructuous to that extent and is disposed off as such.

However, official respondents are directed to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioner at the hands of the private respondents.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 9, 2019
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No