

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16319-2019

Date of Decision:01.05.2019

Panchi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr.Anurag Kulharia, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.Sanjay Kumar Saini, AAG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and he has been falsely implicated. He further contends that the police did not seek police remand of the petitioner. He also submits that the petitioner is in custody since 11.03.2019.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Ranbir Singh, submits that the petitioner, who is driver of another vehicle, was found getting the tyres replaced with the vehicle which he was driving. He also submits that the petitioner is in judicial custody and is not required for any further custodial interrogation.

Without commenting on the merits of the case and keeping in view the facts mentioned above, it is considered appropriate to release the petitioner on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Bilaspur.

Petition stands disposed of.

01.05.2019
mks

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No