

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 9541 of 2019
Date of decision :08.05.2019

Makul

...Petitioner(s)

versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Naveen Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI , J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking direction to the respondents to consider him for the Group D post under the BC-B category.

As per the individual marks of the petitioner displayed on the website (Annexure P-4), he has secured 59 marks in the written examination and 5 marks in the socio economic criteria. Total marks are 64. As per the final result dated 19.01.2019 (Annexure P-2), the last selected candidate under the BC-B category has secured 63 marks.

The case of the petitioner is that originally he belongs to BC-B category. However, inadvertently he mentioned in the application form his category as general and after declaration of the final result on 19.01.2019, he has made representation dated 09.03.2019 (Annexure P-5) for changing his category from general to BC-B. After declaration of the final result, no post under the BC-B category is lying vacant.

This petition is being dismissed on the ground that after declaration of the final result on 19.01.2019 (Annexure P-2), the representation of the petitioner dated 09.03.2019 (Annexure P-5) for change of his category cannot be entertained.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

08.05.2019

Divyanshi

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No