

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-33152-2018 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: February 25, 2019**

**Sachin and others**

**...Petitioners**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana and another**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

Present: Mr. Manoj Makkar, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Surinder Gandhi, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

**RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(ORAL)**

By invoking Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have prayed for quashing of FIR No.316 dated 23.09.2012, under Sections 498-A and 406 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Sampla, District Rohtak and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-3).

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Renu daughter of Jaswant Singh. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has placed on record the statements of the parties which transpires that petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act has already been decided.

Vide order dated 09.10.2018, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial court/illaqa magistrate to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the learned

Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Rohtak wherein it has been reported that

statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise entered between the parties is genuine and outcome of their free consent.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioners, respondent No.2 (complainant), have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in 'Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another', 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543 and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinbefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed and FIR No.316 dated 23.09.2012, under Sections 498-A and 406 IPC, registered at Police Station Sampla, District Rohtak and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise stands quashed qua petitioners.

**February 25, 2019**  
*m. sharma*

**(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | yes/no |
| Whether reportable        | : | yes/no |