

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

243

CRM-M-33066-2018

Date of Decision: 30.01.2019.

Sonia and another

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. D.K. Mittal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Vikram Taunk, Advocate for respondents No.5 and 6.

Shekher Dhawan, J.

Power of Attorney filed on behalf of respondents No.5 and 6 is taken on record.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners despite the case having been taken up twice.

Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondents No.5 and 6 contended that the private respondents in this case are satisfied with the marriage of the petitioners and the petitioners have no threat perception at the hands of the private respondents and they do not need any protection, at this stage.

In view of the above, the present petition stands dismissed.

**(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE**

30.01.2019

komal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No