

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARAYANA AT CHANDIGARH

117

CWP-10216-2019

Date of decision: 18.07.2019

BHURI SINGH @ GANDHARAB SINGH

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Deepak Jain, Advocate,
for Mr. Kuldeep Kumar Sarthi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court challenging the order dated 21.11.2017 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Deputy Commissioner-cum-Collector, Pathankot pertaining to the aspect, which was earlier projected by the petitioner by filing CWP No. 19920 of 2015 titled as Bhuri Singh @ Gandharab Singh vs. State of Punjab and others, which was disposed of by this Court vide order dated 18.09.2015 directing the Deputy Commissioner, Pathankot to look into the grievance of the petitioner specifically made in representation dated 13.07.2015 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of three months. In compliance with the said order, order dated 21.11.2017 (Annexure P-4) has been passed by the Deputy Commissioner-cum-Collector, Pathankot, wherein it has been specifically mentioned that the demarcation of the land has been carried out and on considering the grievance of the petitioner, it has been found that

Raghubir Singh-respondent No. 4 is the owner in possession of Khasra No. 577 and a *Mandir* has been constructed in this very khasra number at the spot. It has further been observed that the petitioner has no concern with the said khasra number. There is no illegality in the order which has been challenged by the petitioner.

The prayer of the counsel for the petitioner that the demarcation of land be carried out cannot be accepted in the light of the fact that the demarcation has already been carried out as is apparent from the impugned order dated 21.11.2017 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Deputy Commissioner -cum-Collector, Pathankot

In view of the above, finding no merit in the present writ petition, the same stands dismissed.

July 18, 2019

pj

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No