

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

256

**CRM-M No. 16222 of 2019
Date of decision : 27.5.2019**

Saddam

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate, for the petitioner

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana

Counsel for respondent No.2

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.

By invoking Section 482 Code of Criminal Procedure (in short, “Cr.P.C.”), the petitioner has prayed for quashing of FIR No.93 dated 29.11.2016, for offence punishable under Sections 323, 377, 498A and 506 of the Indian Penal Code (in short, “IPC”) registered at Police Station Women, District Panipat and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 5.1.2019 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Sarveen wife of Saddam, d/o Mamu Deen. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved by way of compromise Annexure P-2.

Vide order dated 8.4.2019, the parties were directed to appear

genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Panipat, wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and they have voluntarily compromised the matter without any coercion or undue influence.

Counsel for the State and respondent No. 2 have not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioner and respondent No.2 have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**', 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543 and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinbefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed, FIR No.93 dated 29.11.2016, for offence punishable under Sections 323, 377, 498A and 506 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Women, District Panipat and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed qua the petitioner.

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

27.5.2019

Ashwani