

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32894 of 2018.

Decided on:- December 16, 2019.

Jasbir Kaur.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Vikki Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. B.S. Bajwa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed present petition under Section 482 Cr.PC for issuance of a direction to official respondents No.1 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents No.5 to 12 and further to conduct a fair and impartial enquiry so as to register a case against the private respondents.

Reply by way of affidavit of Varinder Preet Singh, PPS, DSP, Sri Hargobindpur, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur has already been placed on record on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4. The relevant paragraph No.4 of the said reply reads as under:

“4. That it is respectfully submitted that the matter in question was got enquired from ASI Gurmukh Singh, by SHO Police Station Ghoman and during enquiry both the parties was summoned and their respective statements were got recorded.

The enquiry officer from the perusal of the statements, discreet and open enquiry had concluded that Kulwant Singh s/o Harbans Singh resident of Dakoha had solemnized his marriage with Manjit Kaur resident of Jatti Umara on 16.06.1990 and had four children from this wedlock namely Kuljit Kaur (daughter) aged about 27 years, Gurdarshan Singh (son) aged about 24 years, Nav Satinderjit Singh (son) aged about 18 years and Jashanjit Singh (son) aged about 15 years and is living in the house since 1990 in which Jasbir Kaur complainant is stating to be the owner. This land is the ancestral property of Harbans Singh father-in-law of Manjit Kaur. Kulwant Singh husband of Manjit Kaur had gone abroad since 1994 and he comes back to visit his house once in a year for a month or two. Jasbir Kaur is not having any right or possession of the house, she can avail her remedy through Civil Courts as the matter of dispute between them is of civil in nature. The enquiry report of SHO, Police Station Ghoman was presumed and the same was accepted by the answering deponent and the recommendation was made to file the same. The office of the Senior Superintendent of Police Batala had seen and filed the same.”

In view of the above, no further order is required to be passed in the present petition. However, in case the petitioner is aggrieved with the report submitted by the police, she is at liberty to avail an appropriate remedy, as available under law.

The present petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

December 16, 2019

Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes
No