

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

240

CRM-M-32783 of 2018

Date of decision : 22.10.2019

Subhash Chandra Batra

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Preetinder Singh Ahluwalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking cancellation of bail granted to respondents No.2 and 3 by the order dated 06.12.2017 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that after the grant of order of bail, respondents No.2 and 3 had trespassed into the house of the petitioner which led to the registration of an FIR against them.

It is apparent that respondent No.2 is the daughter-in-law of the petitioner and they were residing together in the house of the deceased who is the husband of respondent No.2. The FIR had been registered after a delay of 3 ½ months. The body had been cremated in the presence of the petitioner on the next day. There was no postmortem examination conducted and the petitioner did not make any request for the same. Respondents No.2 and 3 had been found innocent in the first investigation and they were arraigned as accused later on after the constitution of another SIT. The petitioner is seeking cancellation of the bail on the ground that respondent No.2 along with respondent No.3 had trespassed in the house of the petitioner. Respondent

No 2 was residing in this house before her arrest and in case, she had gone back

to the same house, it cannot be construed that she has misused the concession of bail or has otherwise tried to influence the investigation or has violated any condition of bail.

Consequently, I do not find any merit in the instant petition which stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

October 22, 2019
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No