

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No.932 of 2000 (O&M)
Date of Decision.21.05.2019**

Kundan Singh ...Appellant

Vs

Daryao Singh ..Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: None.

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

In this case, appellant was represented by Mr. Ramphall
Dhaka.

As per office report, notice issued to the appellant at the
address given in the memo of parties was not received back served or
otherwise. Respondent has already been served.

There is no representation for the appellant. Even the
case was dismissed in default in 2001. It seems that the appellant is
not interested in pursuing the appeal.

The appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty
to revive, if something survives for consideration.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

May 21, 2019
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No