

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32676-2018

Date of decision: 05.04.2019

Sandeep Angrish

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of direction to the official respondents, particularly respondents No.4 & 5, not to harass the petitioner on the behest of respondent No.6 and to protect his life and liberty.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in fact, it is a money dispute between the petitioner and respondent No.6 and the police, on the pretext of conducting inquiry, is putting pressure on the petitioner to pay back the amount.

Reply by way of affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police (Investigation), Ludhiana, on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, is on record and as per this reply, the petitioner was summoned by issuing a notice under Section

160 Cr.P.C. and instead of joining the inquiry, he has filed the present petition levelling allegations against the police officials. It is further stated that on the basis of complaint, it is found that on 12.06.2018, some amount was outstanding against the petitioner and he has even issued a cheque in favour of respondent No.6.

Learned counsel for respondent No.6 has also made similar submissions.

In view of the above, this petition is disposed of and it is directed that as and when the petitioner is required for investigation, he will be given an advance notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C.

Disposed of, accordingly.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

05.04.2019
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No