

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(135)

CWP-9878-2019

Date of Decision: April 11, 2019

Krishan and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. N.K. Malhotra, Advocate, for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners were entitled for the grant of one additional increment in view of the fact that the petitioners have discharged the duties of a higher post and as per Rule 4.4 (2) (c) (i) Vol-I, Part-I of the Punjab Civil Services Rules, the petitioners are entitled for the said benefit. Further, in support of the claim, the petitioners are relying upon the order passed by this Court in CWP No. 3922 of 2011 titled as Narender Pal Singh Arya and another Vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 03.10.2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case the prayer of the petitioner for deciding the legal notice as served by the petitioner upon the respondents on 28.03.2018 (Annexure P-5) is decided by the respondents in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice. Copy of the paper book has been supplied to her.

Learned counsel for the respondents-State states that the State has no objection with regard to the grant of the prayer for deciding the legal notice in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioners for the relief which has been claimed by them in the legal notice dated 28.03.2018 (Annexure P-5), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 28.03.2018 (Annexure P-5) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioners are entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to them within three months thereafter.

April 11, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No