

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-32422 of 2016

Date of Decision: September 23, 2019.

Balkar Singh @ Bara

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL.

Present: Mr.A.S.Khinda, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr.Vikasdeep Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

-. -

Anil Kshetarpal, J. (Oral)

Monetary dispute, i.e, non-payment of a part of sale consideration for the sale of a Buffalo has given rise to this criminal prosecution. As per the case of the first informant, he sold a Buffalo to the petitioner for a sum of Rs.35,000/-, out of which Rs.15,000/- was paid but remaining Rs.20,000/- was not paid.

In the considered view of this Court, non-payment of a part of sale consideration can give rise to the proceedings for recovery of the amount. However, launching of criminal prosecution would not be appropriate. Apart from non-payment of a part of sale consideration, there is no other allegation against the petitioner. Hence, criminal prosecution is quashed as the dispute between the parties is of civil nature.

Disposed of.

September 23, 2019

mohinder

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

:

Yes/No