

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

111

CRM-M-16062-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 09.04.2019

Baljit Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Navjinder Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is mother-in-law who alleges that her life and liberty be protected from respondent No.5. The petition has been filed with the following prayers:-

“Petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and her family members and for further restraining respondent No.5 from harassing them and to take action against respondent No.5 as per law under the provisions of Section 182 IPC as despite of the fact that the petitioner and her family members were found innocent in a numerous false complaints filed by respondent No.5 and despite of the representation being filed by the petitioner to that effect the local police has not taken any action against the respondent No.5 till date. Petitioner has suffered immensely at the hands of the respondent No.5 and official respondents, who are harassing the petitioner at the behest of the respondent No.5 apparently for mala fide and vexatious considerations to fulfill their oblique motives.

And

With the specific prayer that the acts of the Officials respondents and the respondent No.5 are violative of constitutional rights of the petitioner contained in the Article 21 of the Constitution of India.

And

Any other order or direction which may deem fit and proper in these peculiar facts and circumstances in the present case may also be issued in favour of the present petitioner.

And

Further to restrain the respondents during the pendency of the present petition from taking any action against the petitioner without due process of law.”

It is not disputed that two complaints filed by respondent No.5 have been rejected by the authorities after investigation. In such circumstances, this Court does not find from the facts of the present case to be a fit case to issue directions as prayed for in the petition.

Hence, the petition is dismissed.

09.04.2019

Dinesh Bansal

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No