

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-16315-2019

Date of Decision: 19.07.2019

Neeraj

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Jasvinder Singh Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

...

Inderjit Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner Neeraj has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.222 dated 04.03.2018, registered at Police Station City Thanesar, under Section 22 of the NDPS Act, 1985.

Notice of motion has been issued.

Learned State counsel appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

In the present case, recovery is of 3600 tablets of Alpravin-0.5 containing the salt Alprazolam, which falls in commercial quantity. Even otherwise, as per learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra, there is no explanation regarding possession of such a huge quantity of the tablets by the petitioner. Section 37 of the NDPS Act bars the grant of bail to the accused in the case of commercial quantity.

Therefore, no ground is made out to grant the benefit of regular bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

19.07.2019
Jasmine Kaur

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No