

116
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/04/2019 13:34
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CWP-9272-2019 (O/M)
Date of decision : 8.4.2019

Kunal Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ravinder Bangar, Advocate,
for petitioner.
Mr. R.K. Singla, AAG Haryana.

-:-

-:-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Petitioner seeks agriculture parole for six weeks for harvesting paddy crop. It is claimed that wife of petitioner moved a representation dated 8.3.2019 (Annexure-P-1) before respondent No. 4, but no action has been taken thereon so far.

The photocopy of representation dated 8.3.2019, filed by wife of petitioner shows that though it bears name of wife of petitioner, but it is not signed by her.

In these circumstances, petitioner can move fresh application before jail authorities, which shall be processed and decided in time frame, fixed, vide instructions issued by Government of Haryana.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

8.4.2019
sjks

Whether speaking order	:	Yes	/	No
Whether reportable	:	Yes	/	No