

104
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/4/2019 11:54
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR-2395-2019 (O/M)
Date of decision : 9.4.2019

Dilbag Singh Petitioner (s)

Versus

Dalbir Singh and others Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. A.S. Ghangas, Advocate,
for petitioner.

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Impugned in present revision is order dated 2.2.2019 (Annexure-P-6), passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Panipat, vide which order regarding payment of ad valorem court fee of Rs. 750 lacs was held to be redundant in view of statement of counsel for plaintiff that he dropped explanatory statement qua amount and rate as mentioned in paras 9 and 13 of plaint.

The perusal of plaint shows that plaintiff amongst other claims sought mesne profit, compensation for use and occupation of his share in property. In para 9 and 13 of plaint, he mentioned the value of property of his share as Rs. 750/- lacs, on the basis of which, he was ordered to pay ad valorem court fee. Later on, in place of paying ad valorem court fee, he withdrew said paras of plaint. The said paras of plaint were struck from said plaint. Since said paras are no more in claim, therefore, there is no illegality or infirmity in impugned order. Thus, revision petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

9.4.2019
sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No