

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM M-34087 of 2013
Date of Decision: 27.02.2019

Divya SethiPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and another Respondents

CRM M-31358 of 2015

Rajan MehraPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and another Respondents

CRM M-29977 of 2014

Kishore Kumar and anotherPetitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and another Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present : Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Baljinder Virk, DAG, Haryana
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (Oral)

During the course of hearing, Mr. Kunal Dawar,
Advocate submits that a compromise has been arrived at between the

parties, which fact has been mentioned in the order dated 26.07.2018 in CRM M-30103 of 2017 and that the amounts so deposited in various Courts as a consequence of this compromise may be ordered to be given to the respondent-wife.

Shri. Sandeep Khungar, Advocate for respondent has made statement that as per the instructions received from the private respondent, he agrees to what is stated at bar by Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate for the petitioner and undertakes that the private respondent will abide by the same. Besides this, an amount of Rs.1,00,000/- shall be paid by the petitioner even on the date of the filing of the fresh petition for quashment on the basis of the compromise.

In view of this stand taken by the two sides before this Court and on the statement of Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate, for the petitioner, the present petition stands dismissed as withdrawn, however, reserving the right of the petitioner to file fresh one for quashment on the basis of compromise.

February 27, 2019
amit rana

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No