

269 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-16039-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 20.5.2019

Manpreet Singh @ Vicky and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr.G.S.Brar, Advocate for
Mr. Varun Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.13 dated 12.3.2016, under Sections 413, 212, 216A, 120B IPC registered at Police Station Bariwala and District Shri Mukatsar Sahib and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

On 8.4.2019 the following order was passed :-

“The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of F.I.R. No.13 dated 12.3.2016 registered under Sections 413, 212, 216A, 120B IPC at Police Station Bariwala and District Shri Mukatsar Sahib and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise effected

between the parties.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.1 and Mr. Varun Sharma, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent No.2.

Counsel for the petitioners undertakes to supply requisite copy of the paper book to the opposite parties during the course of the day.

To come up on 20.5.2019. Meanwhile, the parties are directed to be present before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court on 19.4.2019 or on any other date convenient to the Court for recording their statements with regard to compromise. The Court is directed to record the statements of both the parties to its satisfaction to know its genuineness that the statements are not the result of any pressure or coercion in any manner. The Court is further directed to send report along with the statements of the parties with regard to validity or otherwise of the compromise effected between the parties and also intimate whether any criminal case and proclamation proceedings is pending against either of the parties before the next date of hearing.”

Thereafter, the report of the JMIC 1st class Sri Muktsar Sahib dated 3.5.2019 has been received whereby he has mentioned that the parties had appeared before him and had attested to the fact that they have compromised the matter with their free will and consent. As such, the Court is of the view that the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence and neither any accused has been declared proclaimed offender nor P.O. proceedings are pending against the accused.

Learned Senior DAG has accepted these facts.

The Supreme Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another** reported as 2012(4) RCR(Criminal) 543 has discussed in

detail the inherent powers of High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint where the parties have entered into compromise except the cases which involve offences such as murder, rape dacoity etc. as such offences are not private in nature and have serious impact on society.

In view of the above judicial pronouncement, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

Consequently, this petition is allowed and the above said FIR and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

20.5.2019

anuradha

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No