

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9224 of 2019

Date of decision : 05.04.2019

Vijay Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Jagjot Singh Lalli, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.V.M.Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to decide the application dated 6.1.2018 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Sub Divisional Magistrate, Sunam District Sangrur to consider and decide the application dated 6.1.2018 (Annexure P-2) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr.Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl.AG Punjab in the Court today.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2

-Sub Divisional Magistrate, Sunam, District Sangrur to consider the

application dated 6.1.2018 (Annexure P-2) and make necessary recommendation to respondent No.3 for passing appropriate order within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

05.04.2019
Meenu

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No