

113+133

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.2384 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 06.11.2019.

Haryana Vidhut Prasaran Nigam Ltd. ...Petitioner

Versus

M/s Kanohar Electricals Ltd.Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

CM No.21658-CII of 2019

Allowed as prayed for.

CR No.2384 of 2019

1. Learned counsel are in agreement that the Commercial Court at Gurugram does not have the jurisdiction to entertain the objection petition in view of the pecuniary jurisdiction under the Commercial Courts Act.

2. Learned counsel for the parties submit that the revision petition can be disposed of by transferring the objection petition filed by the petitioner under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, from the Commercial Courts at Gurugram to

the Court of competent jurisdiction at Panchkula with the directions to decide the same in a time bound manner and till such time that the objection petition is decided, execution proceedings be kept in abeyance.

3. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the revision petition is disposed of by transferring the objection petition from the Commercial Courts at Gurugram to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Panchkula, for decision in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of file on transfer and till such time that decision is taken on the objection petition, execution proceedings shall remain in abeyance.

4. Revision petition disposed of in aforementioned terms.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

06.11.2019.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No