

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

235

Criminal Misc. No.M-31557 of 2018

Date of decision : 17.07.2019

Suresh Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Ankur Lal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for respondents No.2 & 3.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has sought cancellation of regular bail granted to respondents No.2 & 3 by the Additional Sessions Judge, Karnal vide the order dated 30.06.2018 (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the private respondents could not have been granted regular bail as they were involved in a serious case especially when 14 persons were injured in the incident. He also contends that the observation of the Court while granting regular bail to the extent that the private respondents had fired in self-defence, would cause prejudice to the complainant in the course of trial.

Learned counsel appearing for the private respondents states that the respondents No.2 & 3 were granted bail after they had spent thirty days in custody. Respondent No.3 himself was injured in the occurrence. There is no allegation that the private respondents have misused the concession of bail and no such application has been preferred by the State. He also states that a cross

Heard.

It is manifest that it is a case of version and cross-version in which both the sides had suffered injuries. The private respondents had been granted bail after a period of thirty days. There is no averment that they have misused the concession of bail in any manner. Therefore, I do not find any good ground to cancel the bail of the private respondents.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed. However, it is clarified that any observation made by the Additional Sessions Judge, Karnal in the order dated 30.06.2018 (Annexure P-1) shall not have any bearing on the trial Court which will decide the matter in accordance with law on the basis of the evidence on record.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

July 17, 2019
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No