

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 12259 of 2005

Date of decision : 21.01.2019

Yashpal Sharma and others

.... PETITIONERS

Versus

Union of India and others

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHARMA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Arjun Sheokand and Mr. Surinder Jaipal, Advocates,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Dheeraj Jain, Advocate,
for respondent No.1 – Union of India.

Mr. Pankaj Jain, Advocate,
Senior Standing Counsel for UT Chandigarh, with
Mr. Jaivir Chandail, Advocate.

* * *

RAJIV SHARMA, J.

The petitioners have laid challenge to the order dated 07.07.2004 rendered by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh, in O.A. No. 1259/CH/2002, whereby the directions were issued to respondents No.2 to 4 to prepare fresh seniority list of persons holding the posts of Lecturers and circulate the same for inviting objections, if any, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of the order, and thereafter, to finalise the seniority list.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Chandigarh Administration has submitted that in sequel to the directions issued vide

order dated 07.07.2004, the tentative seniority list was prepared. Thereafter, fresh seniority list was circulated vide circular dated 03.01.1998.

In view of this, present writ petition has become infructuous.
Disposed of accordingly.

However, before parting with the order, it is made clear that new seniority list be given effect.

(RAJIV SHARMA)
JUDGE

January 21, 2019
ndj

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No