

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**257**

\*\*\*\*\*

**CRM-M No. 16259 of 2019  
Date of decision : 28.5.2019**

**Sunil Kumar**

**.....Petitioner**

**Vs.**

**State Punjab and another**

**.....Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

Present: Mr. I.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate, for the petitioner  
Mr. Harpreet Multani, AAG, Punjab  
Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate, for  
Mr. N.S. Dandiwal, Advocate, for respondent No. 2

---

**RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.**

By invoking Section 482 Code of Criminal Procedure (in short, “Cr.P.C.”), the petitioner has prayed for quashing of FIR No.29 dated 3.3.2019 for offence punishable under Sections 354, 354A and 506 of the Indian Penal Code (in short, “IPC”) and Sections 7 and 8 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (in short 'the POCSO Act'), registered at Police Station Kabarwala, District Sri Muktsar Sahib and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 16.3.2019 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Kashmir Singh son of Kala Singh. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved by way of compromise Annexures P-2.

Vide order dated 8.4.2019, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Malout, wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and they have voluntarily compromised the matter without any coercion or undue influence.

Counsel for the State and respondent No. 2 have not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioner and respondent No.2 have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**', 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543 and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinbefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed, FIR No.29 dated 3.3.2019 for offence punishable under Sections 354, 354A and 506 of the IPC and Sections 7 and 8 of POCSO Act, registered at Police Station Kabarwala, District Sri Muktsar Sahib and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed qua the petitioner.

**(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)**  
**JUDGE**

**28.5.2019**

*Ashwani*

Speaking/reasoned  
Reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No