

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 31424 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : 27.03.2019

Rupinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Ms. Kiran Bala Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Petitioner Rupinder Singh has filed the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail to him in case FIR No.205 dated 21.06.2018 under Sections 420, 406, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station – Ambala City, Distt. Ambala, during pendency of the trial.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the case, whereas no specific allegations are there against him. He has been implicated in the case being the son-in-law of the main accused. Allegations against the petitioner and the co-accused, who is his wife, are same. Said co-accused was found innocent but subsequently, she has been summoned under Section 319 Cr.P.C. and she has been released on interim bail. Learned counsel also submits that only the presence of the petitioner is shown in the house of the main accused being relative but no specific allegation has been mentioned that he received

any amount. Petitioner is in custody since 21.06.2018. The offence is triable by Magistrate and trial may take some time to conclude and no purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody. He is ready to join the Court proceedings and to abide by terms and conditions to be imposed by this Court or by the trial Court.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the custody period as well as stage of the trial.

Heard arguments of learned counsel for the parties and have also gone through the contents of the FIR and other documents available on record.

By considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and keeping in view the custody period since 21.06.2018 and also the fact that offence is triable by Magistrate; trial may take some time to conclude, no purpose would be served by keeping him in custody. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on furnishing adequate bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

27.03.2019

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No