

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15457-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 31.5.2019

Naveen Bhargav

... Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Rajbir Singh, Advocate.
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jitender Malik, Advocate,
for the complainant.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.663 dated 27.11.2018 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 498-A, 506, 323, 377, 34, 120-B of Indian Penal Code and Sections 3 & 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, at Police Station Sector 31, Faridabad, District Faridabad.

Serious allegations have been levelled against the petitioner with regard to demand of dowry and maltreatment. It is also alleged that the complainant was subjected to unnatural sex.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the record.

A bare perusal of the FIR transpires that serious allegations

provided any maintenance to his wife. Moreover, the articles of *stri dhan* as well as dowry items have not been recovered.

Keeping in view the circumstances of the case, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary and, therefore, he is not entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

May 31, 2019
Paritosh Kumar

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No