

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15924 of 2019
Date of Decision: 14.05.2019

Lalit Rahul

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Munish Mittal, Advocae
for the petitioner.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, DAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C.
seeking bail pending trial in FIR No. 340 dated 03.10.2018, under
Sections 420, 406 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, registered at
Police Station Sadar Jind, District Jind.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that
the entire amount of Rs. 37,650/- has been returned to the
complainant-Pooja d/o Sh. Madan Lal and also furnished the
photocopy of the Bank receipt. Further contends that report under
Section 173 Cr.P.C. has been submitted on 13.02.2019 before the
Court of competent jurisdiction and charges have already been framed
on 26.04.2019. Also contends that there are total 13 prosecution
witnesses but, none has been examined till date.

On instructions from the police official who is present in
the Court, learned State counsel has acknowledged the above factual

position.

Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper book.

Keeping in view the fact that report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has already been submitted on 13.02.2019 before the Court of competent jurisdiction and now the case is fixed for consideration of charges on 26.04.2019, thus the trial is likely to take a long time to be concluded, as such no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars any more.

Therefore, in view of the abovesaid circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is accepted. Petitioner-Lalit Rahul be admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Payment of Rs. 37,650/- shall not be treated as prejudicial to the interest of the petitioner.

It is also made clear that the disposal of the present petition may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merit of the case pending before learned trial Court.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

May 14, 2019
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no