

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANAAT
CHANDIGARH**

Regular Second Appeal No. 1385 of 1998(O&M)

Date of Decision: March 08 , 2019.

Mithu Singh

..... APPELLANT (s)

Versus

Gopi Singh and others

..... RESPONDENT (s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Deepak Thapar, Advocate
for the appellant.

None for respondent No.2.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

LISA GILL, J.

Learned counsel for the appellant had sought time to implead the legal representatives of respondent No.1 after notice issued to respondent No.1- Gopi Singh had been received with the report that he had died. Despite various opportunities, the legal representatives of respondents No.1 and 6 have not been impleaded, neither was the correct address of respondents No.10 to 16 and 19 furnished.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that despite best efforts, including sending of a registered letter to his client, his client has not contacted

him. He pleads no instructions in this matter.

Keeping in view the aforesaid position, this Court is left with no other option, but to dismiss this appeal for non-prosecution. Ordered accordingly.

A copy of this order be sent to the appellant at the available address.

In case of any grievance, liberty is afforded to the appellant to move an appropriate application in this case within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

March 08 , 2019.
'om'

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No