

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-9108-2019(O&M)
Date of decision: 09.07.2019**

SUNIL

.....Petitioner

versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: Mr.Ravinder Bangar, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Sandeep Vashisht, DAG Haryana

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner states that he has filed representation dated 8.3.2019 (Annexure P-1) for grant of agricultural parole for three weeks. It is stated that vide order dated 7.3.2019, passed by Divisional Commissioner, Hisar, he was granted three weeks parole . In fact he is entitled to six weeks parole. Said order dated 7.3.2019 has not been challenged in the present petition. Prayer of the petitioner is that his representation dated 8.3.2019 (Annexure P-1) should be decided afresh.

Learned State counsel has filed reply in Court. The same is taken on record.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with directions to the respondents to decide representation dated 8.3.2019 (Annexure P-1) within the time framed fixed by the instructions issued by Government of Haryana.

09.07.2019
gk

**(Kuldeep Singh)
- Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes
No