

CRM-M-30888-2018

Date of Decision : 06.03.2019

Tarun Chitkara and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH**Present:** Petitioners in person with
Mr. Ravindra Jain, Advocate.Mr. Ripu Daman, Asstt.A.G., Haryana
for respondent No.1-State.

Respondent No.2 in person.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 30.08.2018 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Gurugram has been received whereby after recording statements of respondent No.2-Shikha Mehta and petitioners, namely, Tarun Chitkara and Sunita Rani, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of their own free will, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

During the course of interaction with the parties, they have shown their resolve to proceed ahead with the petition and state that they would abide by the terms of the deed of settlement as Exhibit C-1 and shall

bind themselves to the same.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court and the fact that the dispute between the parties being a pure matrimonial and the offence for which the petitioners have been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**' 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No. 123 dated 07.07.2016 registered at Police Station Gurgaon, District Gurgaon under Sections 406, 498-A and 506 IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR *qua* the petitioners are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

06.03.2019
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No