

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-333-2019

Date of decision-02.04.2019

Umar Said

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Jamshed Ahmed, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Umar Said has filed this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus by way of issuing directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to produce the detainee, Anjali who is in illegal custody of respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the order dated 15.03.2019 (Annexure P-7), whereby the petition brought by the petitioner for similar relief was withdrawn. The order reads as under:-

“Petitioner has filed this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of habeas corpus to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to produce the detainee-respondent No.5 who have been illegally detained at Nari Niketan, Karnal.

In response to the order dated 07.03.2019, it is apprised that the alleged, detainee, namely, Anjali is willing to stay at Nari Niketan. Even otherwise the proceedings before the concerned Magistrate is fixed for 19.03.2019 for recording the statement of respondent No.5. Further, statement recorded on 12.03.2019 reveals that respondent No.5 is willing to live with her parents.

At this stage, learned counsel for the

petitioner does not press this petition and wishes to withdraw the same.
Dismissed as withdrawn.”

It is alleged that the statements so recorded of the detenue before the Magistrate was under coercion and duress.

Concededly, no such application was moved before the Court where the said statement was recorded.

In view of above, no ground is made out for issuance of any direction.

Petition is dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

02.04.2019
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No