

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

262

CRM-M-29840 of 2017

Date of decision: 22.01.2019

Harjinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. M.S. Yadav, Advocate
for Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harpreet Multani, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. S.S. Salar, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4..

RAMENDRA JAIN, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondents No. 2 to 4 submits that the instant petition has rendered infructuous, in view of the compromise arrived at between the parties. In support of his contention, he has placed reliance on photocopy of compromise executed and attested by him, a copy whereof has been placed on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner did not rebut the submission of learned counsel for respondents No. 2 to 4.

Consequently, the instant petition is dismissed as having rendered infructuous.

January 22, 2019

Poonam Sharma

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No