

269 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

C.R.M-M No.15540 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 16.05.2019

Sandeep Gandhi

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & anr.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. B.S.Dhull, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.0069 dated 04.02.2019 under Section 406 IPC at Police Station Surajkund, District Faridabad and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise effected between the parties.

On 04.04.2019 the following order was passed :-

“The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of F.I.R. No.0069 dated 4.2.2019 registered under Sections 406 IPC at Police Station Surajkund, District Faridabad and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise effected between the parties.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.1 and Mr. B.S.Dhull, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent No.2.

Counsel for the petitioner undertakes to supply requisite copy of the paper book to the opposite parties during the course of the day.

To come up on 16.5.2019. Meanwhile, the parties are directed to be present before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court on 25.4.2019 or on any other date convenient to the Court for recording their statements with regard to compromise. The Court is directed to record the statements of both the parties to its satisfaction to know its genuineness that the statements are not the result of any pressure or coercion in any manner. The Court is further directed to send report along with the statements of the parties with regard to validity or otherwise of the compromise effected between the parties and also intimate whether any criminal case and proclamation proceedings is pending against either of the parties before the next date of hearing.”

Thereafter, the report of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Faridabad dated 09.05.2019 has been received wherein it has been mentioned that :-

“.....In the considered opinion of the undersigned the compromise so effected is for the amicable settlement of the dispute and was effected without any external pressure, coercion or force. Said compromise appears to be genuine.

XXX

It is further submitted that report from SHO/Investigation Officer has also been called, who reported that “no criminal

case and proclamation proceedings is pending against either of the parties.”

Learned State counsel on instructions from Investigating Officer has also accepted this fact.

The Supreme Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another** reported as 2012(4) RCR(Criminal) 543 has discussed in detail the inherent powers of High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint where the parties have entered into compromise except the cases which involve offences such as murder, rape dacoity etc. as such offences are not private in nature and have serious impact on society.

In view of the above judicial pronouncement, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

Consequently, this petition is allowed and the above said FIR and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua petitioner.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

16.05.2019

Pooja sharma-I

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No