

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(110-2)

CWP-9086-2019

Date of Decision: April 04, 2019

Madan Lal

.. Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar Goklaney, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that after the impugned order dated 07.02.2018 (Annexure P-5) was passed, the respondents had amended the eligibility condition for the grant of 23 years of promotional increment vide Resolutions dated 21.08.2018 and 01.10.2018. Learned counsel states that in view of the Resolutions stated above, the petitioner is fully eligible as there is no condition of 3 avenue of promotion so as to become entitle for the grant of promotional increment after 23 years of service. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the Coordinate Bench has disposed of a bunch of writ petitions bearing CWP No.1013 of 2017, on 29.11.2018, vide which directions have been issued to the respondent-Corporation to consider the claim of the employees in view of the Resolutions which the Corporation have passed on 21.02.2018 as well as on 01.10.2018. Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that the present writ petition be also disposed of in terms of the order passed by this Court in CWP No.1013 of 2017 on 29.11.2018.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Ms. Deepali Puri Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice. Copy of the paper book has been supplied to her.

Learned counsel for the respondents very fairly states that though the case of the petitioner was rejected on 07.02.2018 but the same was done prior to the Resolutions passed by the Corporation. Now in view of the Resolutions amending the eligibility to claim 23 years promotional increment, the Corporation will consider the claim of the petitioner again ignoring the earlier rejection of the case of the petitioner as taken on 07.02.2018 (Annexure P-5). Learned counsel for the respondents states that she has no objection in case the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of the order passed by this Court in CWP No.1013 of 2017 on 29.11.2018.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is also disposed of in terms of the order passed by this Court in CWP No.1013 of 2017, on 29.11.2018.

It is made clear that the respondents shall ignore the earlier rejection of the case of the petitioner.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

April 04, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No