

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM M-15417-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 28.05.2019**

Hans Raj

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present : Mr. Jagmohan Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.342 dated 17.10.2018, registered under Sections 306, 406, 498-A, 34 IPC, at Police Station Khedki Daula, District Gurugram.

The FIR was lodged by Rakesh Kumar with regard to the death of his sister Smt. Asha, who was married with the petitioner. It is alleged in the FIR that after the marriage of the petitioner, he as well as mother-in-law Chandar Devi and elder sister-in-law Smt. Guddo of the deceased used to tease, harass and beat her for bringing insufficient dowry. Even the petitioner used to beat her under the influence of alcohol.

It is specifically stated in the FIR that on 08.03.2017, the deceased had submitted a complaint to the Superintendent of Police and at that time both the parties were called in the police station where the petitioner Hans Raj admitted his mistake and assured to mend his ways and

treat the deceased in a nice manner.

On 01.10.2018, the deceased was admitted in the hospital as she had consumed substance and she died.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

It has been submitted that the mother and the sister of the petitioner were found innocent during investigation and there is delay in lodging the FIR.

I have considered these contentions but the same carry no weight. The allegations regarding treating the deceased with cruelty on account of demand of dowry and she died by consuming poison have been levelled against the petitioner. He, being the husband of the deceased, has to disclose the facts in his personal knowledge. The trial is in progress.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and the seriousness of the offence, this court is of the opinion that the petitioner is not entitled to the concession of bail.

Accordingly, the instant petition stands dismissed.

28.05.2019
mamta

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No