

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.30731 of 2018
Date of decision: 3rd April, 2019

Parmod Kumar & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Petitioners No.1 to 6 in person along with
 Ms. Jasvir Kaur, Advocate.

 Mr. Rakeshinder S. Sidhu, Asstt. AG, Punjab
 for the respondent No.1/State.

 Respondent No.2 in person along with her counsel
 Mr. Baljinder Singh, Advocate.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

 Learned counsel for the petitioner Ms. Jasvir Kaur, Advocate

has made statement that she wishes to withdraw the petition in respect of

petitioner No.7 Dalip Kumar alias Bunty, reserving his rights to file a

fresh one on the same very grounds.

 The prayer is allowed and the petition in respect of petitioner

No.7 Dalip Kumar alias Bunty stands dismissed as withdrawn with

liberty aforesaid.

 Report dated 31.08.2018 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Jalandhar has been received whereby after recording statements of

complainant Surinder Kaur and the accused persons, namely, Parmod Kumar, Neeraj Kumar, Sonia, Veena Devi, Narinder Mithu and Sukhwinder Singh, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Moreover, during the course of interaction with the parties, they have shown their resolve to end the dispute and have made statements that they have compromised the matter as per compromise (Annexure P2) dated 21.06.2018 and shall abide by the same.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court and the fact that the parties belong to the same very area and the offenses for which the accused have been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in **‘Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another’ 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **‘Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another’ 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.99 dated 17.06.2018 under Sections 323, 452, 354, 427, 506, 148, 149 IPC pertaining to Police

Station Division No.1, Jalandhar and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua petitioners No.1 to 6 are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

April 3, 2019
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No