

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(114)

CWP-9566-2019

Date of Decision: April 10, 2019

S.K. Nain

.. Petitioner

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar Nehra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that during the period when the petitioner was in service, a memo was issued to the petitioner on 07.08.2000 alleging that there were certain shortages noticed in respect of the items, which were under the possession of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the said memo was duly replied with and thereafter petitioner was not informed of any order, by which any recovery was imposed upon the petitioner but after the retirement, a sum of Rs.2,16,243/- has been recovered from the gratuity of the petitioner vide order dated 29.03.2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that after the said action, the petitioner submitted a representation to the Managing Director, DHBVNL on 18.06.2018 (Annexure P-3) against the recovery done by the department, which representation is still pending consideration with the respondents. Counsel for the petitioner further states that the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the representation dated 18.06.2018 (Annexure P-3) by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 18.06.2018 (Annexure P-3), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 18.06.2018 (Annexure P-3) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the representation, the same should also be paid to him within three months thereafter.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

April 10, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No