

108
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/04/2019 13:33
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR-2294-2019 (O/M)
Date of decision : 4.4.2019

Vinod Kumar Petitioner (s)

Versus

Bimla and others Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Divay Sarup, Advocate,
for petitioner.

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

It comes out that though plaintiff has filed a suit for mandatory injunction, but infact it is a suit for specific performance and for delivery of possession. The trial Court has directed the petitioner to deposit requisite court fee upto 27.9.2019.

Considering the nature of relief, there is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order, directing plaintiff to pay requisite court fee. Thus, revision petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

4.4.2019
sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No