

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No. 845 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.04.2019.**

Smt. Vinod Khanna

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Sanjay Majithia, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Inderjeet Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has impugned the order dated 10.01.2019 passed by the Appellate Court whereby her applications under Section 391 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for leading additional evidence have been dismissed.

Learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner contends that the petitioner may be accorded one opportunity to lead evidence before the Appellate Court, as she wants to produce statements of the bank accounts indicating regular business transactions between the complainant and the petitioner. He also contends that the petitioner was in a state of shock and she could not produce this evidence during the course of trial.

Having heard the counsel for the petitioner, I do not find any merit in the petition. In case the petitioner was serious in producing the statements of bank accounts, she could have easily done that in the trial. She did not lead any such evidence in her defence in the trial and no worthwhile

explanation has come forth to permit her to lead the additional evidence at appellate stage. The complaint was lodged in the year 2012. The petitioner was convicted and sentenced under the Negotiable Instruments Act by the trial Court in the year 2015. Therefore, I do not find any infirmity in the impugned order which warrants interference by this Court while exercising revisional jurisdiction.

Consequently, the revision petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

09.04.2019
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No