

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-15283-2019
Date of Decision 17.09.2019**

Aman

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Deepender Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Parveen Kaushik, Advocate for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

Petitioner prays for regular bail in FIR No.167 dated 26.02.2018 registered under Sections 148/149/302/216 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station, Jhajjar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner is in custody since 17.05.2018. The petitioner was not named as an accused in the FIR, which was lodged by father of the deceased after more than five hours from the time of occurrence. He further contends that the petitioner is sought to be implicated on the basis of statement of a co-accused.

Learned counsel for the State states that apart from the statement of co-accused, there is no recovery from the petitioner.

Petitioner is in custody since 1 year and 4 months. Investigations are complete and police report has been filed. Charges have been framed. Out of total 27 prosecution witnesses, only three prosecution witnesses have been examined till date. The trial is being delayed as an application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. has been filed by the prosecution.

Petitioner does not have any criminal background as one FIR was got

registered by the brother of the deceased against some unknown persons in which untraced report is being filed.

Without commenting on the merits of the case and keeping in view the aforesaid facts as also conclusion of the trial is likely to take time, it is considered appropriate to release the petitioner on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Disposed of.

17.09.2019
mks

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No