

119.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR(F)-228-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision:12.04.2019

MANU DEV

... Petitioner

Versus

MAMTA

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has filed the present revision petition against the order dated 18.05.2018 passed by learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Rohtak, whereby on an application filed under Section 125 Cr.P.C. by the respondent seeking interim maintenance, the Family Court has directed the petitioner to pay a sum of Rs.5,000/- per month from the date of filing of the petition.

The petitioner is husband and the respondent has furnished a salary slip of the petitioner showing his monthly salary as Rs.28,340/-. It has been pointed out that the respondent-wife has also filed a revision petition against the same impugned order seeking enhancement of the maintenance.

Considering the fact that the awarded maintenance is interim in nature and the salary of the petitioner is Rs.28,340/- per month, this Court finds that the awarded interim maintenance of Rs.5,000/- per month is not on higher side.

Dismissed.

However, the dismissal of present petition shall not cause any prejudice to the right of the respondent in a separate petition.

Since the main petition has been dismissed on merit, no separate order is required to be passed in the application i.e. CRM-12488-2019 seeking condonation of delay.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

12.04.2019
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No