

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(118)

CWP-9007-2019

Date of Decision: April 03, 2019

Surinder Kumar Rana

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. R.K. Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner retired as Deputy Superintendent from the office of District Education Officer, Ambala on 30.06.2004 and thereafter, the petitioner was reemployed in September, 2011 and joined as Fleet Manager/Inspector in Municipal Corporation, Ambala. Counsel for the petitioner argues that after his re-employment, the honorarium is to be calculated as per Rule 7.18 of Civil Services Rules as application to Government of Haryana employees but the petitioner is not being paid honorarium as he is entitled for under the Rules.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the said relief, the petitioner made a representation initially on 13.03.2014 (Annexure P-6) and thereafter another representation was made on 06.02.2015 (Annexure P-9). He further states that the said representations are still pending consideration with the respondents and no outcome of the same has been informed to the petitioner so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the representations dated 13.03.2014 (Annexure P-6) and dated 06.02.2015 (Annexure P-9) by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representations dated 13.03.2014 (Annexure P-6) and dated 06.02.2015 (Annexure P-9), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representations dated 13.03.2014 (Annexure P-6) and dated 06.02.2015 (Annexure P-9) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the representations, the same should also be paid to him within three months thereafter.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

April 03, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No